

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/598,984	06/21/2000	Besma Kraiem	450117-02628	6533
20999	7590 05/06/2005		EXAM	INER
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			LY, NGHI H	
	VENUE- 10TH FL. , NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2686	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/598,984	KRAIEM ET AL.	KRAIEM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nghi H. Ly	2686				
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication	appears on the cover s	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time marger SIX (6) MONTH: - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within - Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION be available under the provisions of 37 CFS from the mailing date of this communication specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as specified above, the maximum statutory per the set or extended period for reply will, by such office later than three months after the indigustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, howevent. a reply within the statutory minimeriod will apply and will expire SI tatute, cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered time X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on 6	08 November 2004.					
2a)☐ This action	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is non-final	 -				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clain	าร						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> -	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 20-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the a	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>32-35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 20-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32</u>	Claim(s) <u>32-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specific	ation is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119						
	ment is made of a claim for ford Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
· ·	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	fied copies of the priority docum						
	es of the certified copies of the			ıl Stage			
	cation from the International Bu	•					
	ched detailed Office action for a	•	•				
Attachment(s)		_					
1) Notice of Reference	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date				
	re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) □ N	otice of Informal Patent Application (PT ther:	ΓO-152)			

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 32-35 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-18 and 20-31, drawn to creating a topology map, classified in class 455, subclass 446.
- II. Claims 32-35, drawn to transmission power control, classified in class 455, subclass 522.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as <u>sending a request message</u> from said central wireless device to a first wireless device that is communicating with a second wireless device in said direct communication (see claims 32-35). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 32-35 are

Application/Control Number: 09/598,984 Page 3

Art Unit: 2686

withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zamat (US 6,321,068).

Regarding claim 13, Zamat teaches network device for a wireless network (see fig.1), characterized by means to broadcast a calibration signal (see Abstract, column 1, line 24 to column 2, line 45, and column 3, line 7 to column 4, line 34), to measure a power level of a received calibration signal (also see Abstract, column 1, line 24 to column 2, line 45, and column 3, line 7 to column 4, line 34), and to internally store results of the measurement (see Abstract, column 3, lines 21-25 and column 3, lines 32-35) and to wirelessly transmit the measurement results to another network device (also see Abstract, column 1, line 24 to column 2, line 45, and column 3, line 7 to column 4, line 34).

Regarding claim 14, Zamat further teaches characterized in that the functions are performed on demand of another network device or on an internal demand (see column 1, lines 41-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, 12, 18, 20-24, 26-28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wellard et al (US 5,862,477) in view of Zamat (US 6,321,068).

Regarding claim 1, Wellard teaches method to create a topology map indicating the quality of connectivity of each network device of a wireless network (see fig.3,

Art Unit: 2686

wireless connection between cordless fix parts 34, 36 and cordless portable parts 38, 40, and see column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 3) with all other network devices in the wireless network (see Abstract, and see fig.3, wireless connection between cordless fix parts 34, 36 and cordless portable parts 38, 40, and see column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 3), characterized by the following step: performing a measurement phase in which a calibration signal is successively broadcasted by each network device (see fig.2) and in which all respective other network devices receiving the calibration signal measure the received signal quality (see column 3, lines 14-30) and performing a reporting phase in which the measurement results are transmitted from each network device to the network device creating the topology map (see Abstract), and performing a creating phase in which the topology map of the network is created within the network device creating the topology map on basis of all received measurement results (also see column 3, lines 14-30).

Wellard does not specifically disclose performing a reporting phase in which the measurement results are <u>wirelessly</u> transmitted from each network device to the network device.

Zamat teaches disclose performing a reporting phase in which the measurement results are <u>wirelessly</u> transmitted from each network device to the network device (see Abstract, column 1, line 24 to column 2, line 45, and column 3, line 7 to column 4, line 34).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Zamat to the system of

Art Unit: 2686

Wellard so that during the operation, the SSI processor accurately determines the transmitted signal strength by processing the transmitted signal (see Zamat, column 4, lines 31-34).

Regarding claim 2, Wellard further teaches the calibration signal is transmitted in a dedicated control channel (see column 4, lines 52-57).

Regarding claim 3, Wellard further teaches the measurement results are reported in a respective dedicated control channel (see column 4, lines 52-57).

Regarding claim 4, Wellard further teaches the calibration signal is transmitted with the maximum allowed transmit power level (see column 6, lines 29-33).

Regarding claim 5, Wellard further teaches the topology map is updated when a new network device joins the network (see column 8, line 58 to column 9, line 3).

Regarding claim 7, Wellard further teaches topology map is stored in the central controller of the wireless network (see column 6, lines 11-16 and column 9, lines 58-60).

Regarding claim 8, Wellard further teaches topology map is broadcasted in the whole network (see fig.2).

Regarding claim 9, Wellard further teaches only the parts of the topology map related to a specific network device are transmitted to specific network device (see column 5, lines 46-52).

Regarding claim 11, Wellard further teaches the contents of the topology map are codes that are mapped to receive power values (see column 3, lines 25-28).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 12, Wellard further teaches the measurement phase and/or reporting phase is initiated by the network device creating the topology map (see column 3, lines 14-28).

Regarding claim 18, claim 18 is rejected with the similar reason as set forth in claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 20, claim 20 is rejected with the similar reason as set forth in claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 21, Wellard further teaches the calibration signal is transmitted in a dedicated control channel (see column 4, lines 52-57).

Regarding claim 22, Wellard further teaches the measurement results are reported in a respective dedicated control channel (see column 4, lines 52-57).

Regarding claim 23, Wellard further teaches the calibration signal is transmitted with the maximum allowed transmit power level (see column 6, lines 29-33).

Regarding claim 24, Wellard further teaches the topology map is updated when a new network device joins the network (see column 8, line 58 to column 9, line 3).

Regarding claim 26, Wellard further teaches topology map is stored in the central controller of the wireless network (see column 6, lines 11-16 and column 9, lines 58-60).

Regarding claim 27, Wellard further teaches topology map is broadcasted in the whole network (see fig.2).

Regarding claim 28, Wellard further teaches only the parts of the topology map related to a specific network device are transmitted to specific network device (see column 5, lines 46-52).

Regarding claim 30, Wellard further teaches the measurement phase and/or reporting phase is initiated by the network device creating the topology map (see column 3, lines 14-28).

Regarding claim 31, claim 31 is rejected with the similar reason as set forth in claim 1 above.

7. Claims 6 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wellard et al (US 5,862,477) in view of Zamat (US 6,321,068) and further in view of Pelech et al (US 6,243,585).

Regarding claims 6 and 25, the combination of Wellard and Zamat teaches the method according to claims 1 and 20. The combination of Wellard and Zamat does not specifically disclose the topology map is updated after a predetermined amount of time.

Pelech teaches the topology map is updated after a predetermined amount of time (see column 10, lines 10-19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Pelech to the system of Wellard and Zamat so that there is little or no interruption in service to the wireless terminals (see Pelech, column 10, lines 16-19).

8. Claims 10 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wellard et al (US 5,862,477) in view of Zamat (US 6,321,068) and further in view of Jennings,III (US 6,173,191).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claims 10 and 29, The combination of Wellard and Zamat teaches the method according to claims 1 and 20. The combination of Wellard and Zamat does not specifically disclose the calibration signal is transmitted using an omni-directional antenna.

Jennings teaches the calibration signal is transmitted using an omni-directional antenna (see Column 3, lines 65-67 and see column 14, lines 13-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Jennings into the system of Wellard and Zamat in order to transmit the calibration signal in all direction.

9. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zamat (US 6,321,068) and further in view of Feng (US 5,374,936).

Regarding claim 15, Zamat teaches claim 13. Zamat does not specifically disclose a calibration decoder that initiates the broadcast of a calibration signal and the measurement of the reception quality of one or more incoming calibration signals upon reception of a measurement control signal.

Feng teaches a calibration decoder (see fig.3 box 28 and box 32) that initiates the broadcast of a calibration signal and the measurement of the reception quality of one or more incoming calibration signals upon reception of a measurement control signal (see column 2, lines 18-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Feng into the system of

Art Unit: 2686

Zamat so that signal transmitter can be activated directly or remotely, actively or passively (see column 1, lines 30-31).

Regarding claim 16, Zamat teaches claim 13. Zamat does not specifically disclose the calibration decoder initiates the transmission of one or more measurement results upon reception of a reporting control signal.

Feng teaches the calibration decoder (see fig.3 box 28 and box 32) initiates the transmission of one or more measurement results upon reception of a reporting control signal (see column 2, lines 18-21 and see fig.2, multiple arrows or multiple output or input from each device).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Feng into the system of Zamat so that signal transmitter can be activated directly or remotely, actively or passively (see column 1, lines 30-31).

Regarding claim 17, Zamat teaches claim 13. Zamat does not specifically disclose a report encoder that receives one or more signal quality indication signals and encodes therefrom a signal quality control signal to be transmitted to the other network device.

Feng teaches a report encoder (see fig.3 box 28 and box 32) that receives one or more signal quality indication signals and encodes therefrom a signal quality control signal to be transmitted to the other network device (see fig.2, multiple arrows or multiple output or input from each device).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Feng into the system of Zamat so that signal transmitter can be activated directly or remotely, actively or passively (see column 1, lines 30-31).

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 and 20-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

On page 14 of applicant's remarks, applicant argues that Wellard does not teach creating a topology map in a network device belonging to a plurality network devices.

The examiner, however, disagrees. Wellard does indeed teach Applicant's claimed limitations (see Wellard, fig.3, plurality of cordless fix parts 34, 36 and plurality of cordless portable parts 38, 40, and see column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 3, and column 6, lines 14-20, see "RSSI data measurements are recorded, to completely determine a system's cellular topology").

On the page 15 of applicant's remarks, applicant further argues that Pelech fails to provide the disclosure lacking in Wellard.

The examiner, however, disagrees. The combination of Wellard, Zamat and Pelech does indeed teach Applicant's claimed inventions. In addition, Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claims 6 and 25 above.

Art Unit: 2686

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-7911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

(cho 04/26/05

CHARLES APPIAN DRIMARY EXAMINER